

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR LYNN E. SPITLER	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 3000
08/105,444		08/11/1993		04370003.00	
25225	7590	06/14/2004 ED CTED LLD		EXAMINER	
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 3811 VALLEY CENTRE DRIVE					•
SUITE 500		IKL DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN DIEG	O, CA 9	2130-2332			

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c)

_	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	08/105,444	SPITLER ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Phillip Gambel	1644		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>14 February 2004</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three **TIME PERIODS**: (1) **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) **TWO MONTHS** from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. **EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136**.

١.	· 🗀	heading or in the proper order.
2.		The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3.		At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4.		The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5.		The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6.		A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
	(a)	the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
	(b)	the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7.		The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).
8.		The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9.	\boxtimes	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):
		It appears that appellant has relied upon new evidence, namely Peterson, The Urinary Tract and Male Reproductive System

in 17 Pathology 928 (Rubin and Farber, eds. 1988) and Israeli et al., Cancer Res. 53(3): 227-230 (1993) in the Brief on Appeal, filed 2/14/04. Furthermore, these references do not appear in the scanned IFW file application, either on an IDS nor as a separate non-patent literature.

PHILLIP GAMBEL
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 1644

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-462 (Rev. 3-98)

Notification of Non-Compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c)

THE CONTENTEDOO